

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
GREENVILLE DIVISION

Benjamin J. Kinard,)	C.A. No. 6:10-475-TLW-WMC
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	ORDER
)	
South Carolina Department of Corrections;)	
Mr. Andrews,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

The *pro se* plaintiff filed this action pursuant to Title 42, United States Code, Section 1983 in March, 2010. (Doc. # 1). This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by United States Magistrate Judge William M. Catoe, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). (Doc. # 8). In his Report, Magistrate Judge Catoe recommends that the complaint in the above-captioned case be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance of service of process. No objections to the Report have been filed.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. No objections have been filed to the Report. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

A review of the record indicates that the Report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED** (Doc. # 8), and the complaint in the above-captioned case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice and without issuance of service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Terry L. Wooten
TERRY L. WOOTEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

April 19, 2010
Florence, South Carolina